

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/701,262	Applicant(s) BIRDSALL ET AL.	
	Examiner Carlos A. Azpuru	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(2) Michelle S. Glasky, Ph.D.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

New matter and 112, second for not further limiting

Claims discussed:

53 and 55

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A



Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Dr Glasky was called because claim 55 contained new matter. Claim 53 did not further limit claim 43, and actually broadened the claim. It was agreed that these claims would be cancelled in order to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)
 
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)